

Gas-Phase Carbene Radical Anions: New Mechanistic Insights

Stephanie M. Villano, Nicole Eyet, W. Carl Lineberger, and Veronica M. Bierbaum*

JILA, University of Colorado and the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the University of Colorado, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 215 UCB, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0215



Received March 11, 2008; E-mail: veronica.bierbaum@colorado.edu

Carbenes have been extensively studied for several decades, both experimentally and theoretically, because of their importance as intermediates in many organic reactions, their unique reactivity, and their low-lying singlet and triplet states.^{1,2} While neutral carbenes have received considerable attention, only a few studies have addressed the chemistry of the corresponding anions. These studies have produced both intriguing results and continuing controversy.

Simple halogen substituted carbenes (:CHX, where X = F, Cl, and Br) have singlet ground states and the addition of an electron forms a π -radical anion.³ Several studies have addressed the electron and proton transfer reactions of these anions and have provided valuable thermodynamic information about the corresponding neutral carbenes.⁴⁻⁷ Nibbering and co-workers have investigated the reactivity of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ and $\text{CHBr}^{\bullet-}$ with a series of neutral reagents that include methyl halides, whose reactions proceed via $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ substitution.^{7,8} In this work, we reinvestigate the reaction of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ with CH_3Cl in addition to reactions with CH_2Cl_2 , CHCl_3 , and CCl_4 . Our results show that the $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ anion primarily reacts via $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ substitution and proton transfer, but additionally demonstrate that these reactions can proceed by insertion into a C–Cl bond to form an unstable intermediate, which eliminates either $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ or $\text{Cl}^- + \text{Cl}^{\bullet}$. Neutral and cationic carbenes are known to insert into single bonds;^{1,2,9-11} however, the results presented here are the first report of such gas-phase anion reactivity.

Kinetic data for the reactions of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-} + \text{CH}_n\text{Cl}_{4-n}$ ($n = 0-3$) were collected using a tandem flowing afterglow-selected ion flow tube instrument, FA-SIFT.¹² Reactant ions were formed in the source from electron impact on N_2O to produce $\text{O}^{\bullet-}$, which then reacts with CH_3Cl via $\text{H}_2^{\bullet+}$ abstraction to form $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$.^{7,13} Reactant ions of a single isotopomer were mass-selected and injected into a reaction flow tube where they were thermalized to ~ 298 K by collisions with He buffer gas (0.5 torr, $\sim 10^4$ cm s⁻¹). Minor amounts of Cl^- ions, produced from collision-induced dissociation of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$, were also present; the presence of these fragment ions was considered in the data analysis. Measured flows of neutral reagents were introduced at various distances along the reaction flow tube and the depletion of reactant ion and the formation of product ions were analyzed by a quadrupole mass filter coupled to an electron multiplier. Reaction rate constants, branching ratios, and efficiencies are presented in Table 1, along with the product ion isotope distributions, which were determined by alternately SIFT-injecting both isotopomers of the reactant ion. Neutral reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The reported reaction efficiencies are the measured rate constants divided by the calculated collision rate constants ($\text{eff} = k/k_{\text{coll}}$), which were calculated from parametrized trajectory collision rate theory.¹⁴

The $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ anion reacts with CCl_4 to primarily produce CCl_3^- and a trace amount of CHCl_2^- , as shown in eq 1a and 1b.




The formation of these products is consistent with attack on chlorine causing displacement of CCl_3^- or chlorine atom transfer to form CHCl_2^- ; for both pathways, electron transfer can occur before the initial products separate. Similar reactivity has been reported for the reactions of other open and closed shell anions with CCl_4 .¹⁵⁻¹⁷ As predicted by this mechanism, the CCl_3^- ion is produced with an isotope distribution that reflects the natural Cl atom abundance in CCl_3 (44:42:13:1); hence this distribution does not change for different reactant ion isotopomers. In contrast, the CHCl_2^- product isotope distribution is skewed toward the reactant ion isotopomer.

The reactions of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ with CHCl_3 and CH_2Cl_2 primarily occur via proton transfer (eq 2a and eq 3a) to produce CCl_3^- and CHCl_2^- , respectively. As expected, the isotope distributions of these product ions are not altered upon changing the reactant ion isotopomer.



The reaction of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ with CHCl_3 (eq 2b) additionally proceeds by anion attack on Cl to produce CHCl_2^- (analogous to eq 1a). The isotope distribution of this product ion changes when the reactant ion isotopomer is changed, consistent with thermoneutral electron transfer within the product ion–molecule complex. An intriguing result of these reactions is the formation of $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ and Cl^- ions, and that changing the $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ isotopomers affects the isotope distributions of both of these product ions. For example, when $\text{CH}^{37}\text{Cl}^{\bullet-}$ is allowed to react with CHCl_3 , all three isotopomers of $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ are detected, however the isotope distribution is skewed to reflect incorporation of ^{37}Cl . Likewise although both Cl^- isotopes are detected, $^{37}\text{Cl}^-$ exceeds the natural abundance. These observations suggest that these products must result from a mechanism that partially scrambles the reactant ion and neutral reagent Cl atoms.

The formation of both $\text{Cl}_2^{\bullet-}$ and Cl^- is consistent with an insertion–elimination mechanism, shown for the reaction of $\text{CHCl}^{\bullet-}$ with CHCl_3 . Presumably the first step of this reaction



involves the formation of a σ – π complex, where the singly occupied π orbital of the anion overlaps with the C–Cl σ bond of the neutral species to transfer an electron. Neutral :CHCl then inserts into the Cl–C bond in a concerted step, which proceeds through a three-centered transition state where the Cl atom of CHCl_3 attacks


Table 1. Reaction Rate Constants (10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹), Efficiencies, and Product Ion Branching Ratios for the Reactions of CH³⁵Cl⁻ with CH_nCl_{4-n} ($n = 0-3$) and Product Ion Isotope Distributions for the Reactions of CH³⁵Cl⁻ and CH³⁷Cl⁻ with CH_nCl_{4-n} ($n = 0-3$)

| CH _n Cl _{4-n} | <i>k</i> (efficiency) | ionic products | branching fraction ^a | product ion isotope distributions ^b | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | injection of CH ³⁵ Cl ⁻ | injection of CH ³⁷ Cl ⁻ |
| CH ₃ Cl | 2.62 ± 0.10 (0.176) | Cl ⁻ | 1.00 | 78:22 | 70:30 |
| CH ₂ Cl ₂ | 11.8 ± 0.4 (0.468) | Cl ⁻ | 0.10 | 78:22 | 68:32 |
| | | Cl ₂ ⁻ | trace | 73:22:5 | 26:57:17 |
| | | CHCl ₂ ⁻ | 0.90 | 57:37:6 | 57:37:6 |
| CHCl ₃ | 11.3 ± 0.4 (0.568) | Cl ⁻ | 0.05 | 81:19 | 59:41 |
| | | Cl ₂ ⁻ | trace | 71:25:4 | 28:55:17 |
| | | CHCl ₂ ⁻ | 0.15 | 60:35:5 | 49:44:7 |
| CCl ₄ | 9.26 ± 0.12 (0.723) | CCl ₃ ⁻ | 0.80 | 44:43:12:1 | 45:42:12:1 |
| | | CHCl ₂ ⁻ | trace | 68:28:4 | 8:64:28 |
| | | CCl ₃ ⁻ | 1.00 | 45:41:13:1 | 44:42:13:1 |

^a The errors for the product ion branching fractions are ±30%. ^b The error associated with the product ion isotope distributions is ±0.05 for Cl⁻ and Cl₂⁻ and ±0.02 for CHCl₂⁻ and CCl₃⁻. The natural abundance isotope distributions are Cl⁻ (76:24), Cl₂⁻ (57:37:6), CHCl₂⁻ (57:37:6), and CCl₃⁻ (44:42:13:1).

the carbene C atom and the carbene lone pair attacks the C atom of CHCl₃. Insertion of :CHCl forms the [CHCl₂CHCl₂]⁻ intermediate, where the extra electron is localized on a Cl atom in a low-lying σ -type orbital. This intermediate is unstable, and eliminates Cl₂⁻; the overall reaction is sufficiently exothermic ($\Delta H \approx -80$ kcal mol⁻¹) such that Cl₂⁻ can dissociate into Cl⁻ and Cl⁻. Insertion of :CH³⁵Cl into a Cl–C bond results in a Cl⁻ (82:18) and Cl₂⁻ (67:30:3) isotope distribution, while insertion of :CH³⁷Cl results in a Cl⁻ (57:43) and Cl₂⁻ (29:56:15) isotope distribution. These predictions are in agreement with the measured Cl⁻ and Cl₂⁻ isotope distributions for the reaction of CHCl⁻ with CHCl₃. The predictions fall slightly outside of the experimental error bars for reaction of CHCl⁻ with CH₂Cl₂; however, the occurrence of minor amounts of S_N2 substitution would influence the Cl isotope distribution. Despite this, the predicted distributions for an insertion–elimination mechanism provide the best agreement with experiment compared to other possible mechanisms. Furthermore, these results indicate that insertion occurs into a C–Cl bond rather than into a C–H bond.¹⁸ In solution carbenes typically insert into C–H bonds; however, in the gas-phase insertion into a C–Cl bond is favored since the addition of an extra electron increases the nucleophilicity of the Cl atom.

The reaction of CHCl⁻ with CH₃Cl exclusively produces Cl⁻. These results are in excellent agreement with the work of Nibbering and co-workers, which show a slightly skewed Cl⁻ isotope distribution for the reaction of CH³⁵Cl⁻ with CH₃Cl (3% deviation from the ³⁵Cl:³⁷Cl natural abundance of 76:24);⁷ however, we propose an alternate, energetically favorable mechanism to explain the skewed Cl isotope distribution. The authors propose that this reaction proceeds by two pathways, as shown in eq 4a and eq 4b. We agree that the primary path (4a) is a traditional S_N2 reaction to produce Cl⁻ and the CH₃CH³⁵Cl⁻ radical, where the displaced halide ion is produced with an isotope distribution representative of the Cl atom natural isotope abundance. Additionally, it was suggested that this reaction proceeds by a minor second path (4b), where the anion abstracts a proton from methyl chloride prior to S_N2 substitution; the result of this pathway is the formation of ³⁵Cl⁻ and ClCH₂CH₂⁻.



Instead, we propose a mechanism (eq 4c) in which the chlorine atoms are scrambled by two proton exchanges. In this process, CH³⁵Cl⁻ and CH₃Cl enter a long-lived ion–dipole complex which typically contains ~15–20 kcal mol⁻¹ excess energy. The first step of the exchange, the proton transfer reaction of CH³⁵Cl⁻ with CH₃Cl, is endothermic (~15 kcal mol⁻¹) and consumes most of the complexation energy; thus it seems unlikely that the S_N2 reaction of CH₂³⁵Cl⁻ with CH₂Cl⁻ (eq 4b) could proceed past an S_N2 reaction barrier despite the fact that the overall reaction is exothermic. Instead, back transfer of a proton to form [CH₃³⁵Cl + CHCl⁻] restores the complexation energy, scrambles the Cl atoms, and allows for an S_N2 reaction to occur. Equation 4c produces different isotopomers of the reactants and products in 4a through an energetically favorable mechanism.

These isotopic studies have shown that carbene anions can act as prototypical anions in that they undergo proton transfer and substitution reactions with chloromethanes. In addition to these classic transformations, the product ion isotope distributions for the reaction of CHCl⁻ with CHCl₃ provide convincing support for the occurrence of an insertion–elimination mechanism, analogous to neutral carbene processes. While it has been shown that such character is associated with carbene cations,¹¹ this is the first report of such reactivity for carbene anions.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Prof. Charles H. DePuy and Prof. Tarek Sammakia for valuable discussions. This work was supported by NSF (Grant CHE-0647088) and AFOSR (Grant FA9550-06-1-006).

References

- (1) Kirmse, W. *Carbene Chemistry*; Academic Press: New York, 1971.
- (2) Jones, M.; Moss, R. A. *Carbenes*; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1973; Vol. 1 and 2.
- (3) Gilles, M. K.; Ervin, K. M.; Ho, J.; Lineberger, W. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 1130.
- (4) Lias, S. G.; Karpas, Z.; Liebman, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 6089.
- (5) Born, M.; Ingemann, S.; Nibbering, N. M. M. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2000**, *194*, 103.
- (6) Poutsma, J. C.; Paulino, J. A.; Squires, R. R. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 5327.
- (7) Born, M.; Ingemann, S.; Nibbering, N. M. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 7210.
- (8) Born, M.; Ingemann, S.; Nibbering, N. M. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1996**, *11*, 2537.
- (9) Birchall, J. M.; Haszeldine, R. N.; Tissington, P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1975**, *17*, 1638.
- (10) Julian, R. R.; May, J. A.; Stoltz, B. M.; Beauchamp, J. L. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2003**, *228*, 851.
- (11) Bohme, D. K.; Raksit, A. B.; Fox, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 5481.
- (12) Van Doren, J. M.; Barlow, S. E.; DePuy, C. H.; Bierbaum, V. M. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **1987**, *81*, 85.
- (13) Grabowski, J. J.; Melly, S. J. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc.* **1987**, *81*, 147.
- (14) Su, T.; Chesnavich, W. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 5183.
- (15) Staneke, P. O.; Groothuis, G.; Ingemann, S.; Nibbering, N. M. M. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1997**, *11*, 124.
- (16) Staneke, P. O.; Kauw, J.; Born, M.; Ingemann, S.; Nibbering, N. M. M. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *9*, 471.
- (17) Mayhew, C. A.; Peverall, R.; Watts, P. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc.* **1993**, *125*, 81.
- (18) Insertion into a C–H bond results in a Cl⁻ (88:12) and Cl₂⁻ (76:24:0) isotope distribution for the reaction of CH³⁵Cl⁻ and a Cl⁻ (38:62) and Cl₂⁻ (0:76:24) isotope distribution for the reaction of CH³⁷Cl⁻.

JA801819B