

**Experimental investigation of interactions between  
ultracold atoms and room-temperature surfaces**

by

**David Murray Harber**

B.S., Engineering Physics, Colorado School of Mines, 1998

M.S., Physics, University of Washington, 2000

A thesis submitted to the  
Faculty of the Graduate School of the  
University of Colorado in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
Department of Physics

2005

This thesis entitled:  
Experimental investigation of interactions between  
ultracold atoms and room-temperature surfaces  
written by David Murray Harber  
has been approved for the Department of Physics

---

Eric A. Cornell

---

Dana Z. Anderson

Date \_\_\_\_\_

The final copy of this thesis has been examined by the signatories, and we find that both the content and the form meet acceptable presentation standards of scholarly work in the above mentioned discipline.

Harber, David Murray (Ph. D. Physics)

Experimental investigation of interactions between  
ultracold atoms and room-temperature surfaces

Thesis directed by Dr. Eric A. Cornell

The initial experiments with dilute gas Bose-Einstein condensates have primarily focused on the study of the extremely non-trivial properties of the condensate itself. There remains a good deal of interesting work in this direction, but the field has progressed to the point where one might consider using the condensate as a probe to study physics phenomena “external” to the condensate. In the series of experiments described in this thesis, we utilize magnetically-trapped ultracold and condensed  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  atoms to probe the interactions between atoms and room-temperature surfaces.

The first atom-surface interaction studied was magnetic trap-loss induced by Johnson noise in room-temperature conducting surfaces. Near-field radio-frequency noise emanating from the surface can drive the magnetically-trapped atoms to make Zeeman spin-flip transitions to untrapped states. Because this limits the trap lifetime near conducting surfaces, it is of great interest to cooling and trapping experiments working with miniaturized atom traps. Subsequently, we investigated the electric fields generated by small numbers ( $10^5$ – $10^7$ ) of partially ionized rubidium atoms adsorbed onto conducting and semiconducting surfaces. These electric fields, although small, can exhibit large potential energy gradients that in turn generate large, attractive surface forces. This is of concern for experiments intent on measuring small, fundamental atom-surface forces such as the Casimir-Polder force. The final, and most involved, experiment undertaken was a measurement of the Casimir-Polder force near a dielectric surface. Additionally, analysis of the results of our Casimir-Polder measurement provides a limit on the presence of hypothetical exotic short-range forces.

## Acknowledgements

First, I would like to acknowledge Eric Cornell for giving me the opportunity to work in his lab in the first place, and subsequently for the enormous amount of science that I've learned from him over these years. I can only hope that I've picked something up after repeatedly witnessing his deep insight into tackling difficult scientific problems. In addition, I'd like to thank Carl Wieman and Deborah Jin for the countless suggestions they have provided to our experimental problems.

The work of this thesis was of course a collaborative effort. The graduate students and post-docs that I had the opportunity of working with made the work described in this thesis possible. Heather Lewandowski, the first graduate student with this apparatus, and Dwight Whitaker, the first post-doc, built most of the apparatus in the first place and taught me how it all worked. I then had the pleasure of working through a series of really cool experiments with two spin-state trapped atom clouds with Heather and Jeff McGuirk, our second post-doc. The atom-surface experiments described in this thesis I then performed with Jeff along with John Obrecht, now the third graduate student on this apparatus. I feel fortunate to have had the chance to work with such talented and down to earth people; not only did they make the extended hours in the lab enjoyable, but further, I'm glad to be able to call all of them friends. In addition, I must thank all of the other graduate students and post-docs that have passed through the Cornell-Wienman-Jin groups during my time at JILA (which has become a surprisingly long list) for letting me borrow equipment, helping us solve problems, and for providing

a good graduate school environment over the past several years.

Next, I would like to acknowledge all of the excellent support provided by the JILA technical staff. To name just a few examples: Leslie Czaia cut and polished our first set of science substrates, Todd Asnicar created the pyrex surface holder that we still show off to every lab tour, David Alchenberger helped us with some of our crazy surface testing and with our surface preparation techniques, Hans Green answered a ton of questions and gave us some really good ideas (the pyrex single piece table, for one), James Fung-A-Fat helped us put together our dedicated DAC circuits that for several years now have provided us quiet, controllable voltages for critical experiment control, Paul Beckingham luckily had just built a rechargeable battery system that we could use to provide current to our push coils, and finally, Terry Brown answered endless questions about designing very stable servos (which we were thankfully, finally able to construct in the case of our push coils). This is of course just a partial list; the excellent staff are truly instrumental in maintaining the world-class caliber of JILA.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge the support of my friends and family. Without their support the work of this thesis would have been much less enjoyable, and perhaps impossible. In particular, I must thank my parents Ron and Sue for buying me Erector sets and legos long ago—putting me on this path in the first place—and for their continued encouragement throughout the years.

## Contents

### Chapter

|          |  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| <b>1</b> | Introduction                                       | 1  |
| 1.1      | Thesis Organization . . . . .                      | 5  |
| <b>2</b> | Experiment Changes, Upgrades, and Techniques       | 6  |
| 2.1      | Basic System Overview . . . . .                    | 6  |
| 2.2      | Upgrades and Changes for Surface Studies . . . . . | 10 |
| 2.2.1    | Titanium Surface Holder . . . . .                  | 11 |
| 2.2.2    | Pyrex Surface Holder . . . . .                     | 15 |
| 2.2.3    | Vacuum System . . . . .                            | 18 |
| 2.2.4    | Vacuum Bake Out and Getter Handling . . . . .      | 20 |
| 2.2.5    | Magnetic Trap . . . . .                            | 22 |
| 2.2.6    | Magnetic Trap Mount . . . . .                      | 27 |
| 2.2.7    | Push Coils . . . . .                               | 30 |
| 2.2.8    | Computer Control and DACs . . . . .                | 35 |
| 2.2.9    | Table-Level Servo . . . . .                        | 38 |
| 2.3      | Experimental Techniques . . . . .                  | 42 |
| 2.3.1    | Push Field Ramp . . . . .                          | 42 |
| 2.3.2    | Bias and Axial Correction Fields . . . . .         | 44 |
| 2.3.3    | Radial Oscillation Excitation . . . . .            | 47 |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 2.4      | New Imaging Techniques . . . . .                  | 50         |
| 2.4.1    | Atom-Surface Distance Measurement . . . . .       | 50         |
| 2.4.2    | Fast Fourier Transform Image Filtering . . . . .  | 54         |
| 2.4.3    | Two-Direction Imaging . . . . .                   | 61         |
| 2.4.4    | Magnification Measurement . . . . .               | 64         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Johnson Noise from Conducting Surfaces [1]</b> | <b>67</b>  |
| 3.1      | Introduction to Trap Loss Measurements . . . . .  | 67         |
| 3.2      | Experiment . . . . .                              | 69         |
| 3.3      | Results . . . . .                                 | 70         |
| 3.4      | Surface Evaporation . . . . .                     | 76         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Alkali Adsorbates [2]</b>                      | <b>80</b>  |
| 4.1      | Introduction to Adsorbate Studies . . . . .       | 80         |
| 4.2      | Alkali Atom Adsorption . . . . .                  | 81         |
| 4.3      | “Dynamic” Force Detection . . . . .               | 84         |
| 4.4      | Experiment . . . . .                              | 87         |
| 4.5      | Discussion . . . . .                              | 96         |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Casimir-Polder Force Measurement [3]</b>       | <b>100</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction . . . . .                            | 100        |
| 5.2      | Experimental Background . . . . .                 | 108        |
| 5.3      | Experimental Procedure . . . . .                  | 109        |
| 5.3.1    | Oscillation Frequency Measurement . . . . .       | 112        |
| 5.4      | Systematics . . . . .                             | 116        |
| 5.4.1    | Spatially Inhomogeneous Forces . . . . .          | 116        |
| 5.4.2    | Spatially Homogeneous Forces . . . . .            | 120        |
| 5.4.3    | Spatially Homogeneous Electric Forces . . . . .   | 121        |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.4.4    | Spatially Homogeneous Magnetic Forces . . . . .     | 128        |
| 5.4.5    | Compensating for the Effects of Amplitude . . . . . | 133        |
| 5.5      | Casimir-Polder Results . . . . .                    | 133        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Exotic Force Limits [4]</b>                      | <b>138</b> |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>                                 | <b>146</b> |
|          | <b>Appendix</b>                                     |            |
| <b>A</b> | <b>Some Rubidium-87 Parameters of Interest</b>      | <b>151</b> |

## Tables

### Table

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2.1 | First set of surfaces . . . . .                                      | 15  |
| 2.2 | Second set of surfaces . . . . .                                     | 16  |
| 2.3 | Getters currents . . . . .   | 20  |
| 5.1 | Example of systematic estimate for electric fields . . . . .         | 123 |
| 5.2 | Error Budget . . . . .   | 135 |
| 6.1 | Systematic uncertainties . . . . .                                   | 141 |
| A.1 | Some properties of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ relevant to this thesis. . . . . | 151 |

## Figures

### Figure

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 2.1  | Layout for MOT lasers . . . . .                                     | 8  |
| 2.2  | General experiment layout . . . . .                                 | 9  |
| 2.3  | CAD drawing of the titanium surface holder . . . . .                | 13 |
| 2.4  | Picture of the titanium surface holder . . . . .                    | 13 |
| 2.5  | Picture of the assembled titanium surface holder . . . . .          | 14 |
| 2.6  | Pyrex surface holder . . . . .                                      | 17 |
| 2.7  | Image of the assembled pyrex surface holder . . . . .               | 17 |
| 2.8  | Vacuum system . . . . .   | 19 |
| 2.9  | Linear quadrupole field . . . . .                                   | 24 |
| 2.10 | The new magnetic trap . . . . .                                     | 24 |
| 2.11 | A picture of the magnetic trap . . . . .                            | 26 |
| 2.12 | Trap arm holder CAD drawing . . . . .                               | 28 |
| 2.13 | Assembled trap holder . . . . .                                     | 29 |
| 2.14 | Diagram depicting our technique for moving the atom cloud . . . . . | 31 |
| 2.15 | Picture of the push coils . . . . .                                 | 32 |
| 2.16 | Diagram of push coil current monitor winding . . . . .              | 34 |
| 2.17 | Push coil servo circuit . . . . .                                   | 35 |
| 2.18 | Diagram of the control computer . . . . .                           | 37 |
| 2.19 | Bias summing circuit . . . . .                                      | 37 |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 2.20 | Optical table leg connections for level servo . . . . .   | 40 |
| 2.21 | Table level servo . . . . .                               | 41 |
| 2.22 | Frequency analysis of the push ramp . . . . .             | 43 |
| 2.23 | Push bias field correction . . . . .                      | 45 |
| 2.24 | Drawing of the gradient coils . . . . .                   | 46 |
| 2.25 | Axial shift correction . . . . .                          | 46 |
| 2.26 | Dipole oscillation excitation . . . . .                   | 48 |
| 2.27 | Picture of the kick coils . . . . .                       | 50 |
| 2.28 | Distance determination technique . . . . .                | 51 |
| 2.29 | Typical distance measurement . . . . .                    | 53 |
| 2.30 | Example shadow, normalization, and OD images . . . . .    | 55 |
| 2.31 | Examples of FFT images 1 . . . . .                        | 56 |
| 2.32 | Example of FFT images 2 . . . . .                         | 57 |
| 2.33 | The FFT image filtering process . . . . .                 | 58 |
| 2.34 | Comparison of unfiltered and filtered OD images . . . . . | 59 |
| 2.35 | Two direction imaging setup . . . . .                     | 62 |
| 2.36 | Example image from two-direction imaging . . . . .        | 63 |
| 2.37 | Magnification measurement . . . . .                       | 65 |
| 3.1  | Typical data from a lifetime measurement . . . . .        | 68 |
| 3.2  | Picture showing the old push coils . . . . .              | 70 |
| 3.3  | Raw trap loss data . . . . .                              | 71 |
| 3.4  | Trap lifetimes . . . . .                                  | 75 |
| 3.5  | Surface evaporation . . . . .                             | 77 |
| 4.1  | Alkali adsorbate cartoon . . . . .                        | 82 |
| 4.2  | Trap and Casimir-Polder potential . . . . .               | 83 |
| 4.3  | Typical data from the radial dipole oscillation . . . . . | 86 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.4  | Data showing oscillation frequency vs. condensate-surface distance . . . | 89  |
| 4.5  | Setup of external electric field plates . . . . .                        | 89  |
| 4.6  | The application of an external electric field . . . . .                  | 90  |
| 4.7  | Adsorbate electric field . . . . .                                       | 91  |
| 4.8  | Adsorbate distance dependence . . . . .                                  | 94  |
| 4.9  | Axial oscillation variation . . . . .                                    | 95  |
| 5.1  | Plot of the Casimir-Polder integrand . . . . .                           | 104 |
| 5.2  | Reflection of long and short wavelengths . . . . .                       | 105 |
| 5.3  | The convergence of integrals . . . . .                                   | 106 |
| 5.4  | Plot of the Casimir-Polder integrand with the cutoff . . . . .           | 107 |
| 5.5  | Coordinate definition . . . . .  | 108 |
| 5.6  | Predicted frequency shift from the Casimir-Polder force . . . . .        | 110 |
| 5.7  | Data showing our normalization technique . . . . .                       | 111 |
| 5.8  | Typical data from the radial dipole oscillation (reproduced) . . . . .   | 113 |
| 5.9  | Measured frequency uncertainty as a function of interrogation time . . . | 114 |
| 5.10 | Fitting vertical BEC center axially . . . . .                            | 117 |
| 5.11 | FFS across BEC . . . . .   | 118 |
| 5.12 | Poor FFS across the BEC . . . . .  | 119 |
| 5.13 | The measured E-field drive x and y growth rate . . . . .                 | 124 |
| 5.14 | Power law fitting of the measured E-Field derivative. . . . .            | 125 |
| 5.15 | Simulation of electric fields in our science cell . . . . .              | 127 |
| 5.16 | Simulation of electric fields near our surface . . . . .                 | 128 |
| 5.17 | Normalized frequency shift in the $y$ direction . . . . .                | 130 |
| 5.18 | Axial position shift $\Delta z$ . . . . .                                | 132 |
| 5.19 | Systematic amplitude correction . . . . .                                | 134 |
| 5.20 | Raw FFS C-P data . . . . .   | 136 |

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.1 | Residuals to our Casimir-Polder data . . . . . | 139 |
| 6.2 | Residual plots 1 . . . . .                     | 142 |
| 6.3 | Residual plots 2 . . . . .                     | 143 |
| 6.4 | Short-range force limits . . . . .             | 144 |