

MICROWAVE SIGNAL PROCESSING
WITH
PHOTOREFRACTIVE DYNAMIC HOLOGRAPHY

By

Edeline Fotheringham

B.A., Diplôme d'Ingénieur,

Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Physiques de Strasbourg, 1998

M.S., Diplôme d'Etudes Approfondies,

Université Louis Pasteur de Strasbourg, 1998

A thesis submitted to the
Faculty of the Graduate School of the
University of Colorado in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering

2003

This thesis entitled:
“Microwave signal processing with photorefractive dynamic holography”
written by Edeline Fotheringham
has been approved for the
Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering

Dr. Dana Z. Anderson

Dr. Leo Hollberg

Date _____

The final copy of this thesis has been examined by the signatories, and we find that both the content and the form meet acceptable presentation standards for scholarly work in the above mentioned discipline.

Fotheringham, Edeline (Ph.D. Electrical Engineering)

Microwave signal processing with dynamic holography

Thesis directed by Prof. Dr. Dana Z. Anderson

Abstract

Have you ever found yourself listening to the music playing from the closest stereo rather than to the bromidic (uninspiring) person speaking to you? Your ears receive information from two sources but your brain listens to only one. What if your cell phone could distinguish among signals sharing the same bandwidth too? There would be no “full” channels to stop you from placing or receiving a call.

This thesis presents a nonlinear optical circuit capable of distinguishing uncorrelated signals that have overlapping temporal bandwidths. This so called autotuning filter is the size of a U.S. quarter dollar and requires less than 3 mW of optical power to operate. It is basically an oscillator in which the losses are compensated with dynamic holographic gain. The combination of two photorefractive crystals in the resonator governs the filter’s winner-take-all dynamics through signal-competition for gain. This physical circuit extracts what is mathematically referred to as the largest principal component of its spatio-temporal input space.

The circuit’s practicality is demonstrated by its incorporation in an RF-photonic system. An unknown mixture of unknown microwave signals, received by an antenna array, constitutes the input to the system. The output electronically returns one of the original microwave signals. The front-end of the system down converts the 10 GHz microwave signals and amplifies them before the signals phase modulate optical beams. The optical carrier is suppressed from these beams so that it may not be considered as a signal itself to the autotuning filter. The suppression is achieved with two-beam coupling in a single

photorefractive crystal. The filter extracts the more intense of the signals present on the carrier-suppressed input beams. The detection of the extracted signal restores the microwave signal to an electronic form. The system, without the receiving antenna array, is packaged in a 13x18x6" briefcase. Its power consumption equals that of a regular 50 W household light bulb. The system was shipped to different parts of the country for real-time demonstrations of signal separation thus also validating its claim to robustness.

DEDICATION

*To my mother,
who lovingly offered me the keys to a blossoming life.*

*To my friends Gaelle and Christophe,
who opened my eyes to the world and to myself.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my thesis advisor Prof. Dana Anderson. With enthusiasm and brilliance, he taught me all the skills I had wished to learn when I started my studies and other skills I am grateful to have acquired. I thank him for the warmth and friendliness he showed me. I have wholeheartedly adopted his maxim:

“work hard, play hard.”

I thank Leslie Czaia who I have named the fairy of the labs not only because her knowledge of the lab is unequalled but also because she is always willing to help and graciously assist anyone who might need it.

I thank Prof. Zoya Popovic for her guidance in the field of microwaves and for her motherly kindness toward me through out my studies.

I thank Hans Green for the generous time he devoted to teaching me the art of designing precise and reliable mechanical systems.

I thank Dr. Stefania Romisch and Paul C. Smith for the friendly and cooperative atmosphere they maintained during trying times.

I thank Dr. Leo Hollberg who was always ready to give me advice and kindly offered the use of his optical coating facilities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview.....	1
1.1.1	The photorefractive effect.....	3
1.1.2	The autotuning filter	5
1.1.3	An optically smart antenna array	8
1.1.4	A photorefractive regenerative amplifier.....	11
1.2	Thesis outline.....	14
1.3	Contributors to this thesis	16
CHAPTER 2	THE AUTOTUNING FILTER.....	17
2.1	Introduction.....	17
2.2	A heuristic explanation of the filter's dynamics.....	20
2.3	Experimental versions of the filter.....	23
2.3.1	Fiber optic implementations of the filter	23
2.3.2	Free space optics implementation of the filter	27
2.3.3	Results.....	31
2.4	Theoretical analysis of the filter	37
2.4.1	Varying the filter's parameters for best separation performance	37
2.4.2	The filter's principal component extraction abilities.....	47
2.4.3	Theoretical arguments to prove the filter's PC extraction capabilities.....	51
CHAPTER 3	AN OPTICALLY SMART ANTENNA ARRAY	61
3.1	Objective.....	61
3.1.1	Blind Source Separation	61
3.1.2	A portable prototype system	62

3.2	The microwave front end.....	65
3.2.1	The transmitters	65
3.2.2	The quasi optical antenna array	66
3.2.3	The active down conversion stage	68
3.2.4	Demodulation of the audio signals	70
3.3	The EOM and carrier suppression	72
3.3.1	A two channel EO.....	72
3.3.2	Resonant matching design	73
3.3.3	EO data	74
3.3.4	The carrier suppression unit.....	75
3.4	Design of the optical stage.....	80
3.4.1	The optics.....	80
3.4.2	The mechanics	83
3.5	System performance	86
3.5.1	The suitcase.....	86
3.5.2	End-to-end characterization of the prototype.....	88
3.6	Remarks	93
CHAPTER 4 A PHOTOREFRACTIVE REGENERATIVE AMPLIFIER		95
4.1	Introduction.....	95
4.2	A photorefractive amplifier	97
4.2.1	Design issues.....	97
4.2.2	Manufacturing a semi-conductor laser amplifier in a research laboratory	
	98	
4.2.3	Immediate future work.....	103
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS.....		104

CHAPTER 6	REFERENCES.....	108
CHAPTER 7	APPENDICES	115
7. 1	Making spherical crystals	116
7. 2	Mechanical mounts for the fiber filter	117
7. 2. 1	Ballbearings and glue.....	117
7. 2. 2	Pure mechanics	117
7. 3	Algorithm for studying the parameters‘ influence.....	118
7. 4	EO mount.....	125
7. 5	Optics baseplate	126
7. 6	Suitcase manual	127

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1.1. Schematic diagram of the autotuning filter.</i>	6
<i>Figure 1.2. Block diagram of the prototype optically smart antenna array.</i>	9
<i>Figure 2.1. The filter extracts the largest principal component of its input signal space while passing on all the other components.</i>	18
<i>Figure 2.2. Schematic of the autotuning filter's design. The two-beam coupling occurring in the crystal on the left of the figure provides gain to the ring. The two-beam coupling taking place in the crystal on the right imposes loss on the ring signals inversely proportionally to their relative intensities.</i>	20
<i>Figure 2.3. Reflexive coupling is a photorefractive selective loss element. It induces loss inversely proportionally to the strengths of signals.</i>	21
<i>Figure 2.4. GRIN lenses collimate (and refocus) the light coming out of (or into) a fiber held by a ferrule.</i>	24
<i>Figure 2.5. BaTiO₃ spherical crystals double as lenses to couple light from two fibers into two other fibers.</i>	25
<i>Figure 2.6. a) Photographs of the two fiberized autotuning filter versions. The crystals are slabs of spheres as it wastes less material than whole spheres. b) Design of the autotuning filter using fibers and spherical crystals.</i>	26
<i>Figure 2.7. Photograph of the autotuning filter using free space miniature optics.</i>	28
<i>Figure 2.8. The "gold bar" shaped cut of photorefractive crystals suppresses unwanted oscillations arising between otherwise parallel faces.</i>	29
<i>Figure 2.9. Computer generated template placed under the transparent substrate that the filter of Figure 2.7 is built on. The miniature elements of the filter are aligned to it externally before they are glued in place.</i>	30
<i>Figure 2.10. Schematic of the AO test bed used to evaluate the separation performance of the autotuning filters.</i>	32
<i>Figure 2.11. Separation curve taken with the filter on the top left-hand side of Figure 2.6</i>	33

<i>Figure 2.12: AO test bed with mixtures of two signals driving each AO. Each signal is split in two and recombined with half of the other signal. A 180-degree phase shift is added to one half of S2 before it is recombined.</i>	34
<i>Figure 2.13: Separation curve taken with the filter shown on the top right-hand side of Figure 2.6 and with the test bed of Figure 2.12</i>	35
<i>Figure 2.14: Separation curve taken with the filter shown in Figure 2.7</i>	36
<i>Figure 2.15: Regime of interest in our discussion: when the signal ratio is close to unity both signals may oscillate. Above a critical value P_1/P_2^c only the stronger signal oscillates.</i>	38
<i>Figure 2.16: Illustration of the notations used in the equations of this section.</i>	40
<i>Figure 2.17. The intersection of two curves represent the pairs of (S1,S2) that yield $G1=G2=1$.</i>	42
<i>Figure 2.18. The Log-Log plot of the S_1/S_2 vs. P_1/P_2 curve shows a linear region when both signals are oscillating in the ring and a rapid increase toward infinity as the input signal ratio approaches the boundary value where the weaker signal disappears. The parameter values are $G_r=G_g=10$, $m=50$, and $T=0.5$.</i>	43
<i>Figure 2.19. S_1/S_2 vs. P_1/P_2 curve for $G_g=9, 10$, and 11, left to right ($T=0.5$, $G_r=10$, $m=50$).</i>	44
<i>Figure 2.20. S_1/S_2 vs. P_1/P_2 curve for $T=0.55, 0.50$, and 0.40 left to right ($G_g=G_r=10$, $m=25$).</i>	45
<i>Figure 2.21. Left side: S_1/S_2 vs. G_r for $m=60, 55, 50, 45$, and 40 from top to bottom with $T=0.5$, $G_g=10$, and $P_1/P_2=0.2$ dB. Right side: same values except $G_g=11$.</i>	46
<i>Figure 2.22. Simple model of our filter as a principal component extractor. The mixing on the left hand-side accounts for the spatially finite size of the photorefractive gratings that allows one pump beam to weakly diffract off of the other pump's grating.</i>	49
<i>Figure 2.23. Separation performance of the filter. The smooth gray curve shows calculated data points using the first principal component extraction model. The slope at the origin is 15 dB/dB. This is in contrast to the experimental data points (in black) which show a slope at the origin of 26dB/dB.</i>	50
<i>Figure 2.24. Single mode cavity model of our autotuning filter.</i>	51
<i>Figure 2.25. Gain curves for two spatially and temporally orthogonal signals.</i>	55
<i>Figure 3.1: Diagram of the prototype optically smart antenna array.</i>	63

<i>Figure 3.2. Photograph of the battery operated transmitters. The CD player provides the audio signal that modulates the transmitted microwaves.</i>	65
<i>Figure 3.3. Diagram of the circuit that drives the horn antennas.</i>	66
<i>Figure 3.4. Photographs of both sides of a 30-element X-band two-layer quasi-optical lens antenna array with patch antenna elements. The one on the right also shows the ground planes of the receiving antennas placed on the focal arc of the lens array.</i>	67
<i>Figure 3.5 Measured (solid line) H-plane (on the left) and E-plane (on the right) radiation patterns at 9.9 GHz have higher sidelobes than the simulated patterns for a uniformly illuminated array (dashed line). This is due to the non-uniform amplitude and phase associated with the near-field spatial feed. The simulated patterns are calculated using the measured radiation pattern of the patch element</i>	67
<i>Figure 3.6. Down converting circuit schematics. The amplifiers immediately following the receiving patch antennas are LNAs.</i>	69
<i>Figure 3.7 The demodulator uses a PLL to lock to the doubled IF frequency. The loop has a frequency divider that restores the original audio-signals that may then be sent to speakers.</i>	70
<i>Figure 3.8. Electro-optic modulation: a channelized electro-optic modulator made from magnesium doped lithium niobate crystal (Mg:LiNbO₃) imposes spatial and temporal modulation on the optical carrier.</i>	72
<i>Figure 3.9. The two electrodes of the EO are modeled by a resistor and a capacitor. They are matched to 50 ohms by RC circuits. An LC element cancels the coupling capacitance between the electrodes.</i>	73
<i>Figure 3.10. Modulation profile of the two-channel electro-optic modulator. The top graph shows the relative modulation strength of each channel along the 7 mm of the crystal (the crystal starts at graduation 100=1 mm). The channels are driven one at a time. The bottom graph measures in the same way (so that the graphs' values are comparable)but with both channels turned on at the same time, the power of the signal that has the beat frequency between the two modulation signals.</i>	75

<i>Figure 3.11. Two-beam coupling in a photorefractive barium titanate crystal (BaTiO₃) suppresses the optical carrier.</i>	76
<i>Figure 3.12. 2D representation of single mode two-beam coupling. (a) In the case of carrier suppression the input to the minus (“-”) port is a modulated laser beam while the input to the plus (“+”) port is a non-modulated beam from the same laser. (b) Nonlinear coupling between the beams causes the energy in the carrier at the minus port to be transferred to the plus port at the output.</i>	78
<i>Figure 3.13: These line generators create a 30-degree fanning beam that is 0.8 mm wide.</i>	80
<i>Figure 3.14: 3 lens subsystem. The lenses are placed in cylindrical mounts that have the exact same outer diameter to keep the lenses centered relative to each other. The v-groove allows only one translation.</i>	82
<i>Figure 3.15: Photograph and picture of the inverted table clamps. A 1/4-20 screw with a threaded ring attach to every subsystem on the base plate. A u-shaped piece with an integrated screw pulls down on the ring thus immobilizing the subsystem on the base plate.</i>	83
<i>Figure 3.16: Photograph of the 8x11 inch optical stage.</i>	84
<i>Figure 3.17: Photograph of the “suitcase project.” The two coaxial cables visible in the top right corner receive electrical signals directly from the patch antennas placed on the focal arc of the antenna array. The bigger gold-colored box contains the LNAs and the down converting electronics. The output is amplified by power amplifiers sitting under the visible cooling fan (there is another one under the optics.)</i>	86
<i>Figure 3.18: Configuration of the system as it was tested in the laboratory.</i>	87
<i>Figure 3.19. Diagram defining the context of the end-to-end system measurements.</i>	89
<i>Figure 3.20. Signal extraction properties of the adaptive system parameterized by the mutual angle between the transmitters.</i>	91
<i>Figure 4.1 Schematic of the c-mount used to heatsink the semiconductor chip and also provide the electrodes necessary to supply the chip with current.</i>	99
<i>Figure 4.2. PI curves of a laser diode taken a) before coating, (10 mA/div.) b) after coating one facet and c) after coating both facets. The triangular black curves represent the current passing</i>	

<i>through the diode. The nonlinear curves represent the signal of the photodetector placed in the vacuum chamber.</i>	101
<i>Figure 4.3. Proposed mechanical mount to align a lens, the diode amplifier and another lens.</i>	103
<i>Figure 5.1 Description of the method to hand-make spherical photorefractive crystals.</i>	116
<i>Figure 5.2 Mechanical mount for the first version of the autotuning filter with spherical photorefractive crystals (see Figure 2.6.a.)</i>	117
<i>Figure 5.3 Mechanical mount for the second version of the autotuning filter with spherical photorefractive crystals (see Figure 2.6.b.)</i>	117
<i>Figure 5.4. EO mount that holds the 0.3x7x30 mm crystal pressed against a piece of foam.</i>	125
<i>Figure 5.5. Hand drawing of the 1/2 inch deep patterns that were carved out of the bottom of the optics' baseplate. The light bulb shapes indicate the positions of the upside down clamps that were shown in Figure 3.15.</i>	126