

**A Circularly-Polarized Optical Dipole Trap and Other
Developments in Laser Trapping of Atoms**

by

Kristan Lee Corwin

B.A., State University of New York at Buffalo, 1993

M.S., University of Colorado, 1997

A thesis submitted to the
Faculty of the Graduate School of the
University of Colorado in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
Department of Physics

1999

This thesis entitled:
A Circularly-Polarized Optical Dipole Trap and Other Developments in Laser
Trapping of Atoms
written by Kristan Lee Corwin
has been approved for the Department of Physics

Dr. Carl E. Wieman

Dr. Deborah S. Jin

Date _____

The final copy of this thesis has been examined by the signatories, and we find that both the content and the form meet acceptable presentation standards of scholarly work in the above mentioned discipline.

Corwin, Kristan Lee (Ph.D., Physics)

A Circularly-Polarized Optical Dipole Trap and Other Developments in Laser Trapping
of Atoms

Thesis directed by Prof. Dr. Carl E. Wieman

Several innovations in laser trapping and cooling of alkali atoms are described. These topics share a common motivation to develop techniques for efficiently manipulating cold atoms. Such advances facilitate sensitive precision measurements such as parity non-conservation and β -decay asymmetry in large trapped samples, even when only small quantities of the desired species are available.

First, a cold, bright beam of Rb atoms is extracted from a magneto-optical trap (MOT) using a very simple technique. This beam has a flux of 5×10^9 atoms/s and a velocity of 14 m/s, and up to 70% of the atoms in the MOT were transferred to the atomic beam. Next, a highly efficient MOT for radioactive atoms is described, in which more than 50% of ^{221}Fr atoms contained in a vapor cell are loaded into a MOT. Measurements were also made of the ^{221}Fr $7\ ^2\text{P}_{1/2}$ and $7\ ^2\text{P}_{3/2}$ energies and hyperfine constants. To perform these experiments, two schemes for stabilizing the frequency of the light from a diode laser were developed and are described in detail.

Finally, a new type of trap is described and a powerful cooling technique is demonstrated. The circularly polarized optical dipole trap provides large samples of highly spin-polarized atoms, suitable for many applications. Physical processes that govern the transfer of large numbers of atoms into the trap are described, and spin-polarization is measured to be 98(1)%. In addition, the trap breaks the degeneracy of the atomic spin states much like a magnetic trap does. This allows for RF and microwave cooling via both forced evaporation and a Sisyphus mechanism. Preliminary application of these techniques to the atoms in the circularly polarized dipole trap has

successfully decreased the temperature by a factor of 4 while simultaneously increasing phase space density.

Dedication

To my loving parents,
Allen George Corwin
and
Julie Ann Grastorf Corwin.

Acknowledgements

Many, many people have helped make my graduate school experience a rich and rewarding one. Although it is impossible for me to thank them all, here I attempt to mention those who have had the greatest influence on my research at CU.

I have been very fortunate to learn atom trapping from a master, Carl Wieman. He brings an honest and direct approach to both people and science, which I appreciate. And I thank him for the mentoring me on everything from preparation for oral exams to personal and professional advice on being a good scientist. When he adds this document to his collection, I hope he'll say (as on the day he found the source of some particularly annoying noise) "Superadvisor strikes again!!!"

I have also had the pleasure to work with many talented postdocs. The first was Michelle Stephens, who patiently showed me around JILA and taught me important skills such as tuning a Ti:Sapph laser, making dry film coatings, and drilling holes. Even after she left JILA, her continued support has been very much appreciated. After a couple months on my own, I was very happy to welcome Zheng-Tian Lu to the experiment. Lu always encouraged my complete involvement in the experiment, and inspired me by his example to work efficiently and responsibly, always with the final goal in mind. His love of science is contagious, and I am certain that he is now an excellent advisor for his own students and postdocs at Argonne National Labs.

Simon Kuppens joined the experiment about 1 1/2 years ago. At that time, I was certain that I didn't need a postdoc, much like the eldest VanTrapp daughter in

the Sound of Music who asserted, “I don’t need a governess!” But Simon showed me otherwise. He brought to the experiment a mathematical approach that was crucial to the unraveling of the FORT loading puzzle. In addition, he became a close friend. Once a visitor asked if we always run the experiment with so much laughter, and the answer was... yes! I already miss him since his return to Holland.

The experiment is now in good hands. Kurt Miller has been doing great work on the experiment for over two years. The majority of the evidence for cooling is largely due to his efforts – we wouldn’t even know the FORT temperature without him. As he takes over the experiment, he’ll have excellent help from Stephan Dürr, who only joined the group 6 weeks ago but is already making big contributions. I thank Stephan for his helpful comments on the cooling mechanisms and especially Landau-Zener transitions, and I’m sorry I won’t have a chance to work more with him.

Many other people have contributed directly to the work described in this thesis. The LVIS experiment sprung from an idea of Mike Anderson, followed by an astute observation of Mike Renn. In addition, the DAVLL lock was developed with help from Carter Hand and Ryan Epstein. And Tasha Fairfield contributed to the development of the cavity lock.

The francium experiment would have been impossible without the source and hard work provided by the Berkeley team: Tim Dinneen, Harvey Gould, and Jason Maddi. And prospects for finishing the experiment looked dim until Kurt Vogel rode in on a shining Ar^+ laser (from Jan Hall) and saved the day. Thanks also to JILA for providing us a new Ar^+ laser tube, days before the final francium source arrived. That experiment taught me how close colleagues can become when working on a source with a 1 month decay time; I miss the sense of excitement and camaraderie, but not the sleep deprivation. Several members of the JILA shops made major contributions to the Fr experiment: Dave Alchenberger constructed the vacuum chamber and the high-precision oven manipulator, Hans Rohner built the beautiful glass cell and helped

us coat it, and Terry Brown build the high-speed electronics that made the precise λ -meter measurements possible. John Smedley, a JILA visiting fellow, also worked on experiment construction.

Before the FORT project, I had an opportunity to bridge the gap between the old and new teams on the “Fiber project,” under the supervision of Dana Anderson, Eric Cornell, and Carl Wieman. Elizabeth Donley showed me the ropes, and I passed things on to Eric Abraham, Brian DeMarco, and Dirk Müller. I enjoyed working with Brian and Dirk as new grad students, back when THEY had asked ME questions; it seems the roles are now largely reversed. I also appreciated Eric A.’s guidance at that time, even after I left the project in capable hands to return to the circularly polarized FORT.

The circular FORT project was originally conceived by Dong Hyung Cho, who has continued to participate in the project during his visits to Boulder. He also graciously hosted me at his home institution, Korea University, where the collaboration continued. Timothy Chupp also contributed to the studies of FORT loading while he was a JILA visiting fellow in 1997-98. I appreciate Tim teaching me to take the time to stop and think on the “rare” occasions when we did not immediately understand our experimental results. I also enjoyed working and playing soccer with him. Jake Roberts did a brief stint on the project between the Cs parity violation measurement and the Bose-Einstein Condensation measurement, and his contributions then and throughout the experiment have been very valuable. Neil Claussen has also contributed to both the earliest and most recent stages of the FORT experiment, from modeling the loading of the FORT after its initial observation to providing us with a high-power laser system that he personally constructed (the MOPA).

I also want to thank all the members of the Wieman group who contributed greatly to the stimulating and supportive atmosphere. The old gang includes Steve Bennett, Eric Burt, Rich Ghrist, Nate Newbury, Chris Myatt, and Chris Wood. Present members include Nick Cizek, Simon Cornish, and John Essick. Undergraduates Dan

Lowell and Travis Andrews also provided a lot of assistance in the labs.

The Wieman-Cornell-Jin “family” provides a wonderful environment in which to do science. Eric Cornell has served as my additional advisor many times, and I appreciate his ideas and his support. Debbie Jin often has helpful insights during group meeting, and I thank her also for a careful reading of this manuscript with many useful suggestions. Other current “family” members who continue to make this a great group include: Brian Anderson, Ian Coddington, Paul Haljan, Heather Lewendowski, Scott Papp, Marco Prevedalli, Malcom Rickard, Peter Schwindt, and Dwight Whitaker. Previous members who have moved on to great things include Anne Curtis, Harold Gauck, Tim Gfroerer, David Hall, Sergio Muniz, and especially Mike Matthews. Jason Ensher deserves special mention, since he and I have been in school together 10 years, both at UB and CU, and he has always both challenged and encouraged me.

JILA has many staff members, besides those already mentioned, who have made my graduate career a lot easier or better. Fran Haas, Rhonda Hickey, Pam Leland, Karen Melcher and now Linda Morris have helped keep the group and JILA running smoothly, and they have provided me personally with a lot of support and encouragement. In the Scientific Reports Office, Marilee Degode has improved many of the papers appearing here, as has Laurie Kovalenko through the scientific writing course. In the Electronics Shop, Paul Beckingham taught me his Survival class and helped me with many circuits, always keeping me on my toes until we were “home and dry.” James Fung-A-Fat and Mike Whitmore also helped us out a lot. I also thank all the instrument shop members, including Blaine Horner, John Andru, and Hans Green. Among the computing staff, thanks to Alan Dunwell, Joel Frahm, Chela Kunaz, and Peter Ruprecht. Also to the supply office staff: Maryly Dole, Ed Holliness, Brian Lynch, and David Tegart. The rest of the JILA staff has always been very helpful, and I thank you all.

My experience during the early years of graduate school was shaped predominantly by members of my class. I had an opportunity to work a problem with each and

every one of them. I cannot list all my classmates here, but they include Brian King, Shawn Kraut, Sarah Makoski, Jim Mooney, Keith Nordstrum, Arnold Leitner, Darren Link, Harold Parks, Brad Paul, Orion Poplawski, Shuka Schwarz, Eric Vaandering, and Oleg Vdovin. Thanks to the faculty at CU, including my committee members Katy Garmany, Steve Pollock, and Murray Holland. Also Jinx Cooper, Alan Gallagher, and Trisha Rankin, among others.

I have been blessed with many dear friends over the last six years who reminded me of life outside of cold atoms. Thanks to Sara Cho, Hilary Eaton, Melissa Fu, Dana Jandzinski, Kim King, Heather Robinson, Sarah Parks, Sarah Roberts, and many others. Special thanks to Josh Long, whose love and understanding have been very important to me the last couple years. My extended family has also been very supportive. Finally, I thank my mom, dad, and sister Kelly for encouraging me to come to graduate school so far from home, for the long phone calls and many visits, and their enthusiasm for my success. I couldn't have done it without them.

Contents

Chapter

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Atomic Beams and the Development of Neutral Atom Traps	1
1.2	Trapping Radioactive Elements	4
1.3	Optical Dipole Traps	5
1.4	Cooling in Dipole Potentials	6
2	A Low-Velocity Intense Source of Atoms from a Magneto-Optical Trap	8
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Description	9
2.3	Experimental Setup	9
2.4	Results	11
2.4.1	Optimum Detuning	11
2.4.2	Longitudinal velocity	11
2.4.3	Collimation	12
2.4.4	Flux	15
2.5	Conclusion	16
3	Efficient Collection of ^{221}Fr into a Vapor Cell Magneto-optical Trap	17
3.1	Introduction	17
3.2	Theory	18

3.3	Experimental Setup	18
3.3.1	Fr Source	18
3.3.2	The Magneto-optical Trap	19
3.3.3	Sensitive Detection	19
3.4	Results	21
3.4.1	Trapping Efficiency	21
3.4.2	Spectroscopy	22
3.5	Conclusions	23
4	Spin-Polarized Atoms in a Circularly Polarized Optical Dipole Trap	25
4.1	Introduction	25
4.2	Theory	25
4.3	Experimental Setup	27
4.3.1	The Lasers	27
4.3.2	The Pockels Cell and Polarization Issues	30
4.3.3	Diagnostics and Loading	31
4.4	The Elliptically and Circularly Polarized FORTs	33
4.4.1	Loading Atoms into the Circular FORT	33
4.4.2	Elliptically Polarized FORT Decay Rates	34
4.4.3	Model of Lifetime vs. Ellipticity	35
4.4.4	FORT $\lambda > \lambda_{D_1}$	36
4.5	Spin-Polarization Demonstration	38
4.6	Conclusion	38
5	The Physics of Loading an Optical Dipole Trap	40
5.1	Introduction	40
5.2	The FORT potential	42
5.3	Experiment	43

5.3.1	Loading and diagnostics	44
5.4	Dynamics of the loading process	45
5.4.1	Hyperfine repump intensity and detuning	48
5.4.2	Primary MOT light intensity	50
5.4.3	The role of MOT detuning	52
5.4.4	Alignment with respect to the MOT	53
5.4.5	Dependence on FORT depth	54
5.4.6	The effect of elliptically polarized FORT light	56
5.5	Temperature	57
5.6	Trap Lifetime	58
5.7	Analysis	59
5.7.1	Analysis of density dependent losses	59
5.7.2	A model for the FORT loading rate	62
5.8	Discussion	66
5.9	Enhanced and quasi continuous loading	67
5.10	Conclusions	69
6	Cooling in the Circularly Polarized Optical Dipole Trap	70
6.1	Motivation	70
6.2	Theory	71
6.2.1	Sisyphus Cooling Mechanism	71
6.2.2	Repumping with Spontaneous Scattering	77
6.2.3	Cooling Rates	80
6.2.4	Evaporative Cooling	81
6.2.5	Heating Mechanisms	82
6.2.6	Ultimate Temperatures	82
6.2.7	Cooling with a Pulsed Drive	83

6.3	Experimental Implementation	84
6.3.1	RF considerations	84
6.3.2	MOPA	84
6.3.3	Procedure	86
6.4	Preliminary results	87
6.4.1	Two-Photon Stimulated Raman Transitions	87
6.4.2	Cooling with Stationary Frequency	87
6.4.3	Cooling with Swept Microwave Frequency	91
6.4.4	Heating Rates	91
6.5	Conclusion	91

Bibliography		94
---------------------	--	----

Appendix

A	Dichroic Atomic Vapor Laser Lock	102
A.1	Introduction	102
A.2	Diode Laser Frequency Stabilization	102
A.3	The Dichroic-Atomic-Vapor Laser Lock Signal	104
A.4	Apparatus	105
A.5	Characterization of Frequency Stability	107
A.6	Conclusion	109
B	Stabilization of a Diode Laser at an Arbitrary Frequency	110
B.1	Introduction	110
B.2	Description	110
B.3	Implementation	114

B.3.1	Components	114
B.3.2	Procedure	115
B.3.3	Polarization	115
B.4	Results	116
B.5	Conclusion	116

Tables

Table

3.1	Various rates, fluxes, and efficiencies for the transfer of ^{221}Fr from the source to the trap.	23
3.2	The hyperfine constants and wavenumbers of ^{221}Fr	23
6.1	Repumping probability from the $ 2, +1\rangle$ state.	78
6.2	Repumping probability from the $ 2, +1\rangle$ state.	80

Figures

Figure

2.1	Schematic of the LVIS system.	10
2.2	A typical longitudinal velocity distribution.	12
2.3	The average longitudinal velocity and flux as a function of the forcing laser intensity and detuning.	13
2.4	The average longitudinal velocity and spread as a function of the forcing beam polarization.	14
2.5	The measured divergence angle as a function of the collimation length z	15
3.1	A diagram of the ^{221}Fr trap setup.	20
3.2	The atomic level diagram of ^{221}Fr	21
3.3	The number of atoms loaded into a ^{221}Fr trap vs. time, showing a trapping efficiency of 56(10)%.	22
4.1	Schematic level diagram for ^{85}Rb	26
4.2	AC Stark shift $U(\rho)$ in the focus of a circularly polarized Gaussian laser beam for both hyperfine levels.	27
4.3	Maximum FORT Potential depth as a function of FORT wavelength.	28
4.4	Schematic of the experimental apparatus.	29
4.5	FORT laser beam polarization as a function of the voltage applied to the Pockels cell.	32

4.6	Number of atoms stored in a linearly polarized FORT as a function of trapping time.	33
4.7	Dependence of the FORT exponential loss rate Γ on the ellipticity ϵ of the FORT laser polarization.	34
4.8	Exponential decay rate Γ vs. FORT peak intensity for three different FORT polarizations.	35
4.9	FORT decay curve with FORT $\lambda = 798.4$ nm.	37
4.10	Demonstration of spin-polarized atoms in the circular FORT.	39
5.1	Number of atoms N in the FORT as a function of time spent loading.	41
5.2	Experimental setup	43
5.3	Number of atoms remaining in the FORT as a function of time for $\lambda = 782.5$ nm.	45
5.4	Number of atoms loaded in the FORT as a function of FORT loading stage duration.	46
5.5	Number of atoms in the FORT vs time, both with and without MOT light on.	48
5.6	FORT loading rate R_0 and loss coefficient β_L^l as a function of hyperfine repump light intensity.	49
5.7	Number of atoms in FORT as a function of MOT hyperfine repump detuning for different repump intensities.	50
5.8	FORT loading rate R_0 (a) and loss coefficient β_L^l (b) as a function of primary MOT light intensity, for a repump intensity of $5 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$	51
5.9	FORT loading rate R_0 and loss coefficient β_L^l as a function of the primary MOT light detuning, Δ_M	52
5.10	Optimum MOT detuning versus FORT depth.	53

5.11	Number of atoms loaded into the FORT as a function of trap depth for two different beam waists w_0 .	54
5.12	FORT Loading rate R_0 and loss rate β'_L as a function of trap depth U_0 .	55
5.13	Number of atoms in the FORT as a function of loading time for three different ellipticities of the FORT light.	56
5.14	Illustration of ballistic expansion and temperature measurement.	57
5.15	Temperature of the atoms in the FORT as a function of trap depth, for $w_0 = 26 \mu\text{m}$.	58
5.16	Approximate intramolecular potential for Rb, with $C_3 = 71 \text{ \AA}^3 \text{ eV}$.	62
5.17	Radiative escape loss rate coefficient β calculated as a function of the trap depth.	63
5.18	Comparison of loading model with measured results.	64
5.19	Equipotential contours of the FORT.	65
5.20	Demonstration of enhanced loading using a shadowed repump beam.	68
6.1	Two mechanisms for Sisyphus cooling.	73
6.2	Effective Rabi frequency/ (2π) for the two-photon stimulated Raman transition.	75
6.3	Landau-Zener transition probability in the circular FORT.	76
6.4	Fraction of energy removed in one cooling cycle as a function of initial energy.	79
6.5	Attenuation of rf radiation by the vacuum chamber.	85
6.6	Optimum modulation of the MOPA.	86
6.7	Layout of the all-optical two-photon stimulated Raman cooling scheme.	88
6.8	Observation of loss-inducing two-photon Raman transitions.	89
6.9	Cooling with a fixed microwave frequency.	90
6.10	Cooling with a swept microwave frequency.	92

6.11 Heating rate of atoms stored in the circular FORT.	93
A.1 Schematic of a DAVLL system.	103
A.2 Comparison of the signal from a saturated absorption spectrometer and a DAVLL system.	104
A.3 Origin of the DAVLL signal shape.	106
A.4 Measured beat frequency between two DAVLL systems over a 38 h period.	108
B.1 Schematic of the cavity locking system.	112
B.2 Fractional transmission (calculated) of a cavity with a finesse of 40 for two different wavelengths.	113
B.3 A simple computer algorithm.	114