

Coherent Control of Atoms and Molecules

by

Randy Alan Bartels

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Electrical Engineering)
in The University of Michigan
2002

Doctoral Committee:

Professor Henry C. Kapteyn, Co-Chair
Professor Margaret M. Murnane, Co-Chair
Professor Philip H. Bucksbaum
Professor Emmett Leith

© Randy Alan Bartels 2002
All Rights Reserved

For Malini

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

During my graduate career, many people have generously provided support and guidance from many people to whom I am exceedingly grateful. First and foremost, I would first like to thank my wife Malini for all of her kind support, encouragement, and unconditional love. She is my best friend and her love and support mean the world to me. My family and friends scattered around the globe have been a continual source of support and encouragement.

I can't imagine a graduate school experience that would be better for me than the one I experienced. For that, my advisors Margaret Murnane and Henry Kapteyn must take a large share of the credit. They have been friends and mentors to me, allowing me to explore just about anything that interested me. In fact, we even did a few of Henry's famous "one-day" experiments in more-or-less a day. At the same time gently nudging me in the direction they, in their wisdom, deemed best for my career. As a result, I have learned far more about a broad range of fields than I could have imagined would have happened during my Ph.D. work. I count Margaret and Henry among the most generous, genuine, and supportive people I know. On top of that, they are truly world-class scientists and it has been an honor and a privilege to work with them during the last 4 years.

Shortly after I began working for Margaret and Henry, they moved from the University of Michigan to the research laboratory JILA located on the campus of the University of Colorado in Boulder. This was a wonderful experience, allowing me to study at two excellent institutions. I was the first person in the group to make the trek out to Colorado, and I began building a laser system as the parts arrived in boxes. This gave me a wonderful opportunity to learn the laser system intimately and made running it on a daily basis much easier. JILA is a wonderful place to do experimental work because of the wide array of talented, knowledgeable, and helpful support staff.

I am grateful for the help of many other incredibly talented scientists, postdocs, and students during my graduate studies. Sterling Backus has been a tremendous resource. He quite possibly knows how to build and run ultrafast laser systems better than anyone else in the world. I have had the good fortune of using an updated version of the amplifier system that he designed in his Ph.D. work and has continually improved and advanced over the years. Sterling and I worked together on a majority of the experiments that make up this thesis work. Working with him has both been fun and fruitful. He was there with me many times working into the wee hours of the morning, sustained only by Cheetos and iced tea. I am also indebted to Erik Zeek who developed the deformable mirror pulse shape that I used

extensively in my work and for him letting me bug him repeatedly with questions about programming in Labview. Chip Durfee showed me how to make HHG radiation with a hollow core fiber, and taught me many things about doing good scientific experiments. Lino Misougti was a great resource in the lab and was always willing to drop what he was doing to help anyone with their experiments. Lino is also responsible for taking the bulky hollow-core fiber setup and transforming it into a stable, efficient setup. Ivan Christov worked with us to develop a very successful model of the HHG control experiment, and with his help, we were able to demonstrate that we were controlling the process with attosecond precision. Hersch Rabitz was very excited when he heard about our experimental results in the control of HHG. We spoke with him quite extensively and worked in collaboration on modifications to the learning control algorithms that allow the algorithm to learn about the system under control and find more robust solutions. Tom Weinacht and I developed a series of experiments to control molecular systems. Because we developed an approach that operated at room temperature, we were able to try many experiments very quickly, and had lots of fun. When we delved into the field of Chemical Physics, the immense technical knowledge in that field at JILA was extremely helpful. In particular, we have had many useful discussions with Steve Leone, David Nesbitt, and David Jonas. In the experiment where we compressed ultrafast optical pulses with spinning molecules, Mark Baertschy (a postdoc of Chris Green's, who is a fellow here at JILA) modeled the experiment, and helped us understand how we can optimize the compression experiment. Nick Wagner came to work in our lab the summer before he started graduate school and was involved in the demonstration of this new pulse compression technique. We are now working with Nick, Mark, Chris, and Marcos Dantus in an effort to optimize this pulse compression method. Dave Attwood at UC Berkeley and LBNL is an expert in the coherence of EUV and soft x-ray radiation. When we began our work on studying the coherence properties of hollow core phase-matched radiation, we worked closely with Dave and his student Yanwei Lui on the experiments. We have also worked with Chris Jacobsen from the Department of Physics & Astronomy, SUNY at Stony Brook and Brookhaven National Laboratory who has been a tremendous source of information on coherent x-ray imaging and has worked with us to do phase-retrieval imaging with our EUV source. All of the other students and postdocs in the laboratory (including Robbie, Tim, Dave, Scott, Rannan, Erez, Emily, Tennio, and any I may have omitted because of my limited memory) have made my experience very enjoyable. I wish them all luck and thank them for making my journey exciting, pleasant, and fun.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER	
I. Introduction	1
II. Coherent control of quantum systems with learning algorithms	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.1.1 A brief history of coherent control	13
2.2 Learning algorithms for coherent control of quantum systems	16
2.3 An overview of learning algorithms	17
2.3.1 Terminology of Evolutionary Algorithms	19
2.3.2 An overview of evolutionary computation	20
2.4 Experimental demonstrations of Learning Control	23
2.5 Experimental Apparatus	25
2.6 Summary	28
III. Coherent Control of Atoms: Manipulating the Process of High Harmonic Generation	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Semiclassical model of HHG	32
3.3 Quantum mechanical description of HHG	33
3.4 Classical trajectory analysis	35
3.5 Finite time response	41
3.6 Early attempts of HHG control	44

3.7	HHG Control Experiment	46
3.8	Theory of HHG Optimization	57
3.9	Intra-atomic phase matching	62
3.10	Attosecond science	70
3.11	Summary	71
IV.	Coherent Control of Molecules: Manipulating Molecular Degrees of Freedom	74
4.1	Introduction	74
4.2	Impulsive Stimulated Raman Scattering	77
4.3	Selective learning control of molecular vibrations	79
4.3.1	Using modified cost functions to learn control mechanisms	86
4.4	Chirped ISRS excitation	88
4.5	Monitoring Ro-vibrational wave packets through phase modulation	92
4.5.1	Observing molecular wave packet dynamics with polarizability	98
4.5.2	Rotational dephasing	101
4.6	Overtone excitation	102
4.6.1	Experimental measurements	108
4.6.2	Excitation of dissociated molecular fragments	117
4.6.3	Observation of combination band evolution	120
4.7	Modification of a bimolecular reaction rate	121
4.8	Self-seeding of vibrational motion	124
4.8.1	Reshaping of the ISRS pump pulse	124
4.8.2	Excitation of self-seeded vibrational motion in N_2	128
4.9	Summary	131
V.	Applications of Controlled Atoms and Molecules	133
5.1	Introduction	133
5.2	Controlled rotational wave packets as phase modulators	134
5.2.1	Theoretical description	136
5.2.2	Molecular phase modulation for pulse compression	140
5.2.3	Demonstration of self-compression of ultrafast optical pulses	142
5.2.4	Improving the pulse compression	145
5.2.5	Summary	150
5.3	Spatial coherence of HHG radiation	150
5.3.1	Introduction	150
5.3.2	Analysis of a double-slit pattern	153
5.3.3	Determination of the spectrum	157

5.3.4	Full spatial coherence of HHG	161
5.3.5	Summary	166
5.4	EUV Gabor holography with HHG radiation	167
5.4.1	EUV Microscopy with HHG radiation	167
5.4.2	Gabor holography	168
5.4.3	Resolution of Gabor holography	170
5.4.4	Gabor hologram generated by HHG radiation	171
5.4.5	Summary	174
VI.	Summary	176
6.1	Towards EUV radiation for table-top coherent imaging	177
6.2	The future of laser-controlled chemical reactions	179
	APPENDICES	180
A.1	Evolutionary Algorithm	181
A.2	Pseudocode	182
A.3	Description of the pseudocode	184
A.4	Mutation operator	188
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	189

LIST OF TABLES

Table

3.1	Various fitness functions used by the learning algorithm.	50
4.1	Filter Diagonalization of Figure 4.13(b & c).	113

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

2.1	Coherent control is accomplished by manipulating interfering pathways in a quantum mechanical system.	13
2.2	Optimal control is a variation of coherent control that uses a shaped optical field that drives a quantum system from some initial state into a desired final state.	15
2.3	An evolutionary algorithm can be used to teach a laser system to control a quantum system.	18
2.4	A deformable mirror that folds a zero-dispersion stretcher can be used to adjust the relative delay of colors (and thereby spectral phase) of an optical pulse.	26
3.1	Electron wave packet trajectory.	33
3.2	Classical behavior of electron trajectories driven by a sinusoidal electric field.	36
3.3	Excursion time duration of the electron trajectory calculated by taking the difference between the emission and ionization times.	38
3.4	The "deBroglie phase" of the electron accumulated during the electron trajectory.	39
3.5	The ionization and emission times for the short and long trajectories contributing to HHG generation.	40
3.6	Flight time of the 25 th , 27 th , and 29 th for the short trajectories.	42
3.7	HHG spectrum in Helium as a function of driving laser pulse duration.	45
3.8	Experimental set-up for optimization of high-harmonic generation.	49

3.9	Optimization of a single (27 th) harmonic in argon while suppressing adjacent harmonics.	51
3.10	Amplitude and phase of the optimal laser pulses corresponding to Figure 3.9.	52
3.11	A spectrum consistent with nearly transform-limited x-ray generation obtained by optimization of a harmonic order in argon with a spectral window at longer wavelengths than in Figure 3.9 and without suppressing adjacent harmonics.	54
3.12	Highest enhancements observed to date. The 21 st harmonic is observed to increase by a factor of 33 when excited by an optimized pulse compared with a transform-limited excitation pulse.	55
3.13	Sequence of optimizations performed in Kr for adjacent harmonics (17-23).	56
3.14	Dependence of optimization of laboratory noise. X-ray emission as a function of driving laser energy for an optimal laser pulse shape along with brightness enhancement factors of the 29 th harmonic in argon as a function of driving pulse energy, and corresponding RMS fluctuations of the x-ray output, as a function of driving laser intensity.	57
3.15	The measured HHG spectra is well reproduced by our theoretical model.	59
3.16	Experimental and calculated optimized laser pulse shapes, together with the corresponding temporal phase.	61
3.17	Demonstration of attosecond control.	63
3.18	The anti-correlation of the intensity of neighboring harmonic orders of nearly optimal driving pulses illustrates the degree to which selective control is possible in HHG.	65
3.19	Time dependence amplitude of dipole strength of the most relevant trajectories that contribute to the target harmonic and the envelopes of the corresponding laser pulse before optimization, and after optimization.	68
3.20	Schematic representation of intra-atomic phase matching.	69

4.1	ISRS is controlled by manipulating the power spectrum of the intensity profile.	80
4.2	Learning control of SF_6 by feeding back the vibrational sideband energy into our learning algorithm.	82
4.3	Selective learning control of both vibrational modes in CO_2 by feeding back the vibrational sideband energy difference into our learning algorithm.	84
4.4	Selective learning control of vibrational modes in CCL_4 by feeding back the vibrational sideband energy difference into our learning algorithm.	85
4.5	The measured power spectrum of the intensity profiles of various shapes that control vibrational excitation in SF_6 . Use of the cost function modifications elucidates the control mechanism.	88
4.6	Impulsive chirped Raman excitation.	90
4.7	Impulsive chirped Raman excitation of SF_6 for many chirp rates.	91
4.8	Impulsive chirped Raman and transform-limited pulse pair ISRS excitation of CCL_4	93
4.9	Molecules with a non-uniform polarizability experience a torque the attempts to align the molecule to the direction of polarization.	96
4.10	The measured probe spectrum as the delay between the pump and probe is varied leads to the observation of ro-vibrational wave packets in CO_2	97
4.11	Sideband scattering in SF_6 demonstrating overtone excitation.	109
4.12	Beating of overtones in light scattered at 41.64 THz in CO_2	110
4.13	Beating of overtones in scatter light in CCL_4	112
4.14	Filter Diagonalization decomposition of scatter light from CCL_4	114
4.15	Fourier transform of two-pulse excitation.	118
4.16	Rotational revival structure indicating that the CO_2 is dissociated into CO and O_2	119

4.17	Growth of Cl_2 at the expense of CCl_4 , indicating macroscopic photo-dissociation.	120
4.18	Combination mode excitation.	121
4.19	We observe new modes that appear and grow with time when Carbon Dioxide, Carbon Tetrachloride, and laser pulses are introduced into the gas cell.	122
4.20	Modification of a bimolecular chemical reaction rate.	123
4.21	As the pump pulse that impulsively excites vibrations in a molecule is seen to reshape into a pulse with more structure at the mode frequency.	126
4.22	Modification of the spectrum of the pump and probe pulses in N_2	127
4.23	Probe spectrum demonstrating excitation of the 14 fs vibrational mode in N_2	128
4.24	Evolution of pump bandwidth and Nitrogen sideband intensity.	129
4.25	Correlation between the pump bandwidth and excitation of Nitrogen vibrations.	130
5.1	Revival index of refraction in CO_2	139
5.2	Measured phase of the first observed partial revival in CO_2	142
5.3	Self-compressed 400 nm pulse in CO_2	143
5.4	Input and output intensity profiles of KrF compression.	147
5.5	Evolution of the KrF spectrum upon propagation in the rotational coherence.	148
5.6	Compressed and transform-limited pulses for simulated KrF pulse compression.	149
5.7	Measuring spatial coherence.	155
5.8	Measured EUV beam.	158

5.9	Interferogram used to determine the EUV beam power spectrum. . .	160
5.10	Fourier transform of a double-pinhole interferogram.	161
5.11	Comparison of the double-pinhole spectrum with a grating spectrum.	162
5.12	A sample of double-pinhole EUV interferograms.	163
5.13	Fringe visibility across the beam.	165
5.14	Gabor holography setup.	169
5.15	Spherical illumination for Gabor holography.	170
5.16	Recorded EUV hologram of a 260 μm diameter wire.	172
5.17	Numerical reconstruction of a wire hologram.	173
5.18	Numerical simulation of a wire hologram shown in Figure 5.17. . . .	174

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

A. Evolutionary Algorithm	181
-------------------------------------	-----