

DYNAMIC PHASE AND POPULATION CONTROL OF STATE SELECTED
WAVE PACKETS IN Li_2

by

Joshua B. Ballard

University of Colorado

Boulder, Colorado

2003

Dynamic Phase and Population Control of State Selected Wave Packets in Li₂

By

Joshua B. Ballard

A.B., Harvard University, 1995

A thesis submitted to the

Faculty of the Graduate School of the

University of Colorado in partial fulfillment

of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

2003

This thesis for the Doctor of Philosophy degree by

Joshua B. Ballard

has been approved for the

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

by

Stephen R. Leone

David Jonas

Date _____

The final copy of this thesis has been examined by the signatories, and we find that both the content and the form meet acceptable presentation standards of scholarly work in the above mentioned discipline.

Ballard, Joshua (Ph.D., Physical Chemistry)

Dynamic Phase and Population Control of State Selected Wave Packets in Li_2

Thesis directed by Professor Stephen R. Leone

Pulse shaping of ultrafast pulses with a Liquid Crystal Spatial Light Modulator (SLM) is used to control both transient and non-transient state-resolved wave packet dynamics in Li_2 . In almost all of the experiments, a single launch state (generally $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ $v_A=11$, $J_A=28$) is prepared via excitation with a cw laser, from which a pump pulse excites a superposition of states on an excited electronic potential energy curve followed by a photoionizing ultrafast probe pulse. Using feedback and an Evolutionary Algorithm (EA), the weak field pump-probe photoionization signal at a single time delay is optimized in Li_2 for the state $E^1\Sigma_g^+$ ($v_E=9$, $J_E=27$ & 29). First order time dependent perturbation theory is used to explain the mechanism by which the photoionization is maximized. Following this, the transient dynamics of excitation of wave packets is studied in detail. A clear separation is made between resonant and nonresonant effects. Both population and resultant phase in the molecule are transiently manipulated. By varying the polarization of the probe light, population dynamics can be separated from interfering wave packet dynamics, allowing precise determination of the instantaneous population and wave packet dynamics. A pulse shaping scheme is described that implements a sign inversion for one state of a two state superposition, and all sign inversion matrix elements are quantified. Elements of strong field coherent control are also explored in Li_2 . From the launch state, the strong optical field couples the A and E electronic states,

inducing sequential $\Delta J = \pm 1$ transitions to populate states up to $\Delta J = \pm 4$. Taking advantage of Rapid Adiabatic Passage, state selectivity is controlled by manipulating chirp parameters on the excitation pulse, achieving selectivity of either Stokes or anti-Stokes quantum beats of nearly unity. Finally, wave packet dynamics on highly excited electronic states is examined. Electronic wave packets consisting of beating between bound states on the $F^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $G^1\Pi_g$ electronic states are observed.

“I thought of that while riding my bike.”

-Albert Einstein on the theory of relativity

Acknowledgements

To fully describe the degree to which I feel indebted to my wife for her support in this endeavor of studying graduate chemistry, a little background is in order. By 1997, after my wife and I had lived in San Francisco for a few years, we had a very comfortable existence. The internet and multimedia industries were blooming in The City, and jobs were plentiful and high paying. Even though I was enjoying a well paying position in high-tech, I had time to direct and produce my own movies for personal expression while my wife Rebecca and I were living in the most quaint neighborhood just two blocks from Golden Gate Park. From our front door, we could walk to any of sixty restaurants within five minutes. Even in this very urban environment, our house was surrounded by gardens, and we would wake to the sound of birds chirping without the usual din expected of the city. It was quite peaceful spending the morning in our mature garden sipping coffee and collecting our thoughts for the day.

On one particular trip home to visit the parents, I had a conversation with my father-in-law Garth Gillan, who at the time was a Professor of philosophy at the local university. I was telling him about how cool a life we were living, and he asked me if I felt like I was getting anything out of it, or if I was just whoring myself out to the highest bidder. Try to guess my answer.... Shortly after that, I quit my job to study chemistry. Within three months, I was taking some undergraduate chemistry courses, and we had moved to one of the roughest neighborhoods in San Francisco. It was typical to have to wade through a group of thugs clad in ski-masks to get up the stoop to our front door (actually, we'd just go to our back door). Now, instead of being

surrounded by birds chirping and gardens, we were in the midst of what would be considered a war zone anywhere but in America. One night, as Rebecca and I were on our way to BART, we passed by a bleeding stabbing victim. There were police there, so we kept on walking. One block later, we passed a gunshot victim. Hey, I wanted to go to graduate school. Rebecca knew that I needed to study to get to graduate school, so she endured this extreme change in situation—for me.

It is especially comforting to know that I have someone like Rebecca behind me. As might be expected, her support has not wavered since entering graduate school.

One particularly large series of events in graduate school revolved around relocating our lives and labs back to California. It would have been impossible to deal with if not for the collective impetus of everybody in the group. Laurie and Jodi were especially nice to have around. We started graduate school together and spent countless hours in the same room in lab. Seeing them in California is an important constant, helping make the personal adjustments bearable.

In lab, it has been a pleasure to share so many conversations with Hans Stauffer. He tends to get worked up when talking about science, and that can be very motivating during the long haul of graduate school. On a personal level, it has been very nice to have Hans and the rest of his family around for so many important events.

Through my five years of graduate school, there have been many others who have helped me develop or endure. First of all, my advisor Steve has been quite a source of motivation, that is in addition to taking all of the responsibilities of the role

of advisor that needs not be explained to anybody reading this text. It seems like almost every conversation I had with him resulted in me wanting to do some of the best science ever. There were several times I would go into a meeting with him feeling like Dave Stoler [from *Breaking Away*] working in his father's used car lot, and leave feeling like Dave on the last lap of the Little 500.

I have to give a shout out to JILA, where it is very easy to do science. There are so many people there whose main goal is to make the pursuit of knowledge seamless. I particularly enjoyed participating in OSEP, where I got to follow the progress of people in so many different groups. I can hardly imagine getting through grad school with nearly the perspective I have if it were not for everybody involved in OSEP. It has truly been an enriching experience to be involved in such an interdisciplinary group.

There are many others that deserve acknowledgement from me. We have to keep perspective though: this isn't the Oscars.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	Experimental Setup and Overview	8
2.1	Experimental Apparatus and Techniques	8
2.1.1	Pulse Shaper	11
2.1.2	Heat Pipe/Detection	16
2.2	Wavelength Subtraction Spectroscopy	17
Chapter 3	Evolutionary Algorithm	23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Algorithm Characterization	25
3.3	Convergence Speed and Schema Size	29
3.4	Pulse compression	36
3.5	Optimizing Launched Wave Packet	40
3.6	Optimizing Transient Wave Packet	50
3.7	Modeled Optimization	57
3.8	$t=0.0$ ps Optimization	61
Chapter 4	Weak Field Population Control	66

4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Theory	68
4.3	Experiment	73
4.4	Results and Discussion	77
Chapter 5	Dynamic Phase Control	89
5.1	Introduction	89
5.2	Theory	91
5.3	Experiment	94
5.4	Results and Discussion	99
Chapter 6	Strong Field Population Control:	
	Theory and Experiment.	110
6.1	Introduction	110
6.2	Theory	114
	6.2.1 Spatially Uniform Fields	115
	6.2.2 Alignment effects.	123
	6.2.3 Spatially Inhomogenous Fields	130
6.3	Experimental Observations	136

Chapter 7	Electronic Wave Packets	149
7.1	Introduction	149
7.2	Experimental and Theoretical Overview	151
7.3	Results	156
7.4	Discussion and Future Directions	164
7.5	Ultrafast transient behavior in Li ₂ with 680 nm excitation. . . .	167
	7.5.1 Experiment and Results.	169
	7.5.2 Discussion.	173
Chapter 8	Conclusion	179
	Bibliography	180

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.1	Typically excited potential energy curves of Li ₂	9
2.2	Schematic Experimental Setup	10
2.3	Cross correlation pulse profiles for pulse trains with various interpulse delays	14
2.4	Multi-color pulse train	15
2.5	Schematic spectra as used in Wavelength Subtraction Spectroscopy (WSS)	19
2.6	Comparison of excited state populations for a weak, phase shaped input using narrow bandwidth excitation and WSS.	21
3.1	Evolutionary algorithm flowchart	27
3.2	Calculated pulse compression dynamics using an evolutionary algorithm	30
3.3	Optimized fitness (\mathcal{F}) of a two part process showing double exponential behavior	35
3.4	Optimized cross correlation	38
3.5	WSS (O) spectrum with the probe set to $t > 5.0$ ps	41
3.6	Two state wave packet signals for different optimization conditions	43
3.7	Long time delay optimization convergence	44
3.8	Multiple state wave packet optimization	47
3.9	Short time delay optimization results	51

3.10	Evolution of the fitness versus generation number for the 1.6 ps time delay optimization	54
3.11	Model optimization results	58
3.12	Phase mask (\square) found by EA when optimizing photoionization at $t=0.0$ ps	62
3.13	Optimized photoionization with cross correlations	63
4.1	Pump-probe traces at parallel (\blacktriangle) and magic angle (solid line) pump-probe polarizations, along with the difference (\triangle) between the traces for unshaped pump pulses	72
4.2	Phase mask classes used in this chapter	76
4.3	Chirp-type pump spectrum transient	78
4.4	Phase-jump spectrum transients	80
4.5	Comparison of control capabilities using the phase-jump spectrum versus the chirp-type spectrum	83
4.6	Comparison of model results with experiment	86
5.1	States involved in excitation scheme	93
5.2	Phase shaped population transfer traces	96
5.3	Resonant/nonresonant interaction scheme for inducing sign inversion	98
5.4	Phase evolution using the same phase mask for each resonance	100
5.5	Sign inversion wave packet data	102
5.6	Sign inversion wave packet data without time-shifting the resonant contribution	104
5.7	Wavelength Subtraction Spectroscopy traces for shaped and unshaped pulses	106

6.1	Summary of resonant frequency positions	116
6.2	Dissipation of wavefunction over various J states for $m_J=0$	119
6.3	Calculated WSS spectrum as a function of pulse normalized field strength for a two level system	122
6.4	Evolution of J=28 population for various m_J states under excitation with a laser field with $N_{FS}=2$	126
6.5	Effects of alignment on total population in J=28 state.	127
6.6	Degeneracy dependent selectivity for high J vs low J.	129
6.7	Rabi oscillation visibility for various probe sizes	133
6.8	Calculated populations as a function of normalized field strength for positively chirped pulse.	135
6.9	Fourier Transforms for quantum beats at two different peak field strengths	139
6.10	Field strength dependence of quantum beat amplitudes	142
6.11	Phase and amplitude mask used to induce sequential Rapid Adiabatic Passage events.	144
6.12	Implementation of Raman state selectivity based on sign of chirp.	145
7.1	Potential energy curves in excitation region	153
7.2	State locations accessible from the $v_A, J_A=11, 28$ launch state with a central pump energy of $17,550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $18,100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	155
7.3	Pump-probe signal for a pump energy of $17,850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for a launch state of $v_A, J_A=11, 28$	157
7.4	Fourier Transform quantum beat spectra for varying pump energies.	159
7.5	Quantum beat energy as a function of pump pulse energy for 11,28 launch state	161

7.6	Quantum beat frequencies as a function of central pump energy from 11,16 launch state	163
7.7	Pump-probe signal for $E_{pu}=14,700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	169
7.8	Dependence of transient peak height on central pump energy and J_A	171
7.9	Polarization dependence of peak photoionization for parallel, perpendicular, and magic angle pumps	172
7.10	Fluorescence spectrum with excitation by 606.955 nm cw laser . . .	176

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
6.1 $\Delta J=2$ quantum beat frequencies for $J=24$ through 32.	138
7.1 Expected quantum beat frequencies	165